Anne M. Talcott, OSB #965325

Email: atalcott@schwabe.com

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.

1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900

Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Facsimile: 503.796.2900

Of Attorneys for Defendant

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON,

#### PORTLAND DIVISION

ELIXIR THERAPEUTICS, LLC, a

Wyoming limited liability company,

Plaintiff, Counterclaim-Defendant,

VS.

GLOBAL INNOVATIVE CONCEPTS, LLC, an Alabama limited liability company,

Defendant, Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

Case No. 3:20-cv-2055

DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIM-PLAINTIFF GLOBAL INNOVATIVE CONCEPTS, LLC'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIM

Defendant Global Innovative Concepts, LLC ("Global") hereby submits this Answer to Plaintiff Elixir's Therapeutics, LLC's ("Elixir") Complaint.

## **ANSWER**

In response to the individually numbered paragraphs in Elixir's Complaint, Global states as follows:

Case 3:20-cv-02055-YY Document 1 Filed 11/25/20 Page 2 of 12

1. The allegations of Paragraph 1 are admitted upon information and belief.

2. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 2, Global admits that it has at times

provided technology consulting and other business services to a variety of industries but denies

that it is a "technology consulting and services firm."

3. Global admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 and further alleges that the

parties did reach an oral agreement that was later reduced to writing.

4. Global admits that Exhibit 1 is a copy of an email attaching a proposed

Compensation Agreement for Global's review and input, as alleged in Paragraph 4.

5. Global lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of

the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 and therefore denies those allegations.

6. Global admits that Exhibit 2 is a copy of an email sent from Elixir to Global on or

about May 11, 2020 and that the quoted words appear in that email.

7. In response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 7, Global admits that no

written agreement was reached between Elixir and Global after May 11, 2020 but denies that no

written agreement was reached between Elixir and Global prior to May 11, 2020.

8. In response to the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 8, Global

admits that it claims the Compensation Agreement is valid and seeks to enforce that agreement but

denies that it was never executed. In response to the allegations contained in the second sentence

of Paragraph 8, Global admits that Elixir's complaint purports to seek a declaratory judgment

establishing the contractual obligations between the parties. The allegations contained in the third

sentence of Paragraph 8 are denied.

9. The requests for relief following Paragraph 8 do not contain any allegations

requiring a response from Global. To the extent a response is required, Global denies that Elixir

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503-222-9981 Fax:503-796-2900

Page 2

is entitled to a judgment declaring that there is no written agreement between the parties and that

Elixir does not owe any compensation to Global.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As set forth in further detail in its Counterclaim, even if the Court determines that there is

no written contract between the parties, Global is owed at least \$1,561,294 pursuant to a valid and

enforceable oral contract and under the doctrines of unjust enrichment and quantum meruit. Global

re-asserts each of these alternative theories as affirmative defenses.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Elixir is equitably estopped from claiming that no enforceable contract exists.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As of the date of this filing there has been no return of service. Global therefore pleads the

affirmative defense of failure of service of process.

COUNTERCLAIM

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 13(a), Global hereby states as follows for its

Counterclaim against Elixir.

**PARTIES, JURISDICTION & VENUE** 

1. Global is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the

State of Alabama, with its principal place of business in Jefferson County, Alabama. Among other

things, Global provides medical sales, staffing, and information technology services.

2. Elixir is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of

Wyoming, with its principal place of business in Oregon. Among other things, Elixir engages in

the business of importing or distributing products for sale to customers who purchase the product

for resale.

3. Federal diversity jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

4. Venue in the District of Oregon is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2)

because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted in this action

occurred there, and the contractual relationship at issue in this action stipulates the Oregon federal

court as the appropriate venue.

**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS** 

5. In the Spring of 2020, Global and Elixir orally agreed to cooperate to sell medical

supplies to various states including Georgia.

6. Under the parties' oral agreement, Elixir was responsible for product procurement

and logistics while Global was responsible for product sales. For its sales efforts, Global would

be entitled to receive a commission equal to 50% of the profits from any completed transaction.

7. The oral contract between Elixir and Global was reduced to writing on April 28,

2020 when Elixir sent Global a partially executed written contract.

8. Elixir's offer to enter a written contract did not invite or require acceptance in any

particular manner.

9. Global immediately accepted Elixir's offer of a written contract by countersigning

the agreement that day. A true and correct copy of the written contract is attached as Exhibit 1.

10. Among other things, the parties' written contract provides that in the event legal

action is initiated, the prevailing party shall be entitled to all attorneys' fees, court costs, and

expenses, in addition to any other relief to which such prevailing party may be entitled.

11. Global informed Elixir that it had executed the written contract and then proceeded

to perform its obligations thereunder by completing a sale to the State of Georgia.

12. That sale was completed on May 11, 2020 when the State of Georgia wired Elixir

the remaining balance due on that purchase order.

13. Under the parties' agreement, and based on the costs allegedly incurred by Elixir

in procuring the product, Global was due to receive a commission on this sale of \$1,561,294.

14. In keeping with the parties' agreement, Elixir had advised Global that it would

distribute Global's share of the proceeds on May 12, 2020.

15. Elixir immediately reneged on its promise to pay Global and set about to defraud

Global out of its agreed commission. Upon received payment from the State of Georgia, Elixir

sent Global an email purporting to revoke its April 28 offer of a written contract.

16. Elixir subsequently claimed to have incurred finance charges even though no

financing was required to complete the transaction. Elixir has never provided any documentation

to support these charges which, upon information and belief, did not exist.

17. Elixir refused to pay Global amounts that it owed despite repeated requests to do

so.

18. On August 19, 2020, counsel for Global sent a demand letter and invoice to Elixir

requesting payment of \$1,561,294, which at that time was over 90 days past due. Global further

requested documentation of Elixir's alleged costs in order to confirm the gross profits from the

transaction and therefore the final amount due.

19. Elixir responded with a letter dated August 26, 2020. In its August 26 letter Elixir

denied having any enforceable agreement with Global. Unbeknownst to Global, Elixir had already

filed the complaint in this matter on August 24, 2020.

20. Global responded to Elixir's August 26 letter on August 28, 2020. In that letter,

Global explained that the parties had a fully enforceable oral contract and a signed written contract

that was accepted before it was revoked. Global further explained that it was entitled to recover

under the doctrines of *quantum meruit* and unjust enrichment.

21. As of the date of this filing, Elixir has never responded to Global's August 28 letter

and has never paid Global any of the commission it is owed under the parties' agreement for the

completed transaction with the State of Georgia.

**COUNT I – BREACH OF CONTRACT** 

22. Global incorporates the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, and

further alleges that:

23. Global entered into an agreement with Elixir for the sale of medical supplies.

24. Global has performed under the agreement, fulfilled all of its obligations, and

complied with all conditions precedent under the agreement.

25. The total balance currently owing under said agreement, exclusive of interest, is at

least \$1,561,294.

26. Elixir breached the agreement by failing or refusing to make payment to Global as

required by the agreement between the parties. Global has suffered damages as a result of this

breach.

27. As a result of the foregoing, Global demands judgment against Elixir in an amount

not less than \$1,561,294, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs, and such other, further and

different relief at law, in equity or otherwise as may be just and proper.

COUNT II – ALABAMA SALES REPRESENTATIVE STATUTE (ALABAMA CODE § 8-24-1 et seq.)

28. Global incorporates the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, and

further alleges that:

29. Elixir engages in the business of importing or distributing products for sale to

customers who purchase the product for resale.

30. Elixir utilizes sales representatives such as Global to solicit orders for its products

and compensate its sales representatives, in whole or in part, by commission.

31. Global engages in the business of soliciting, on behalf of a principal, orders for the

purchase at wholesale of the product or products of the principal.

32. Elixir failed to pay Global the sales commission due for the sale the parties

completed to the State of Georgia on or about May 11, 2020.

33. Alabama Code § 8-24-3 provides that a principal who fails to pay a sales

commission is liable to the sales representative in a civil action for three times the damages

sustained by the sales representative plus reasonable attorney's fees and court costs.

34. Under Alabama law, Global's right to treble damages, attorney's fees, and court

costs is not waivable. See Alabama Code § 8-24-5 ("This chapter may not be waived, whether by

express waiver or by any provision in a contract attempting to make the contract or agreement

subject to the laws of another state. A waiver of any provision of this chapter is void.")

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503-222-9981 Fax:503-796-2900

Page 7

35. As a result of the foregoing, Global demands judgment against Elixir in an amount

not less than \$4,683,882, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs, and such other, further and

different relief at law, in equity or otherwise as may be just and proper.

COUNT III - ACCOUNT STATED

36. Global incorporates the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, and

further alleges that:

37. Elixir currently owes Global at least \$1,561,294 on an account stated and presently

due and payable.

38. As a result of the foregoing, Global demands judgment against Elixir in an amount

not less than \$1,561,294 plus interest, if applicable, on the amount claimed herein, attorneys' fees,

and costs, and such other, further and different relief at law, in equity or otherwise as may be just

and proper.

**COUNT IV - EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL** 

39. Global incorporates the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, and

further alleges that:

40. Elixir promised to pay Global 50% of the gross profits if Global would render

services resulting in a completed sale of medical supplies.

41. Elixir should have reasonably expected to induce action or forbearance by Global

of a definite and substantial character.

42. Elixir's promises did in fact induce such action or forbearance by Global.

43. Injustice can be avoided only by enforcing Elixir's promises.

44. In the event it is determined there is no express contract applicable to Global's

claims, which Global denies, Global alternatively is entitled to recover under a theory of equitable

estoppel from Elixir the principal sum of \$1,561,294, plus interest thereon at the highest legal rate

from the date of the performance of such work until paid.

45. As a result of the foregoing, Global demands judgment against Elixir in an amount

not less than \$1,561,294, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs, and such other, further and

different relief at law, in equity or otherwise as may be just and proper.

COUNT V – QUANTUM MERUIT

46. Global incorporates the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, and

further alleges that:

47. Global furnished certain services related to the sale of medical supplies at the

direction of Elixir and for Elixir's use and benefit.

48. The services provided by Global were and are worth at least the principal amount

of \$1,561,294.

49. Global has made demand upon Elixir for the payment of the total remaining unpaid

invoices due and owing.

50. Elixir has failed or refused to pay the outstanding invoices.

51. As a result of Elixir's failure to pay Global, Elixir has become unjustly enriched at

Global's expense in at least the amount of \$1,561,294.

52. As a result of the foregoing, in the event it is determined that there is no express

contract applicable to Global's claims, which Global denies, Global alternatively is entitled to

recover in quantum meruit from Elixir the principal sum of \$1,561,294, plus interest thereon at the

highest legal rate from the date of the performance of such work until paid.

<u>COUNT VI – UNJUST ENRICHM</u>ENT

53. Global incorporates the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, and

further alleges that:

54. As a result of Global's sales efforts with respect to the State of Georgia, a benefit

was conferred to Elixir.

Elixir was aware that it received a benefit as a result of Global's efforts. 55.

56. Global rendered its sales efforts with the expectation that it be compensated by

Elixir.

It would be unjust to allow Elixir to retain the benefit of Global's efforts without 57.

requiring payment by Elixir.

58. As a result of the foregoing, in the event it is determined that there is no express

contract applicable to Global's claims, which Global denies, Global alternatively is entitled to

recover under the doctrine of unjust enrichment from Elixir the principal sum of \$1,561,294, plus

interest thereon at the highest legal rate from the date of performance of such work until fully paid.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Global respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in its favor as follows:

Judgment in favor of Global and against Elixir for breach of contract in an amount 1.

not less than \$1,561,294, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.

2. Judgment in favor of Global and against Elixir for violation of the Alabama Sales

Representative Statute Elixir in an amount not less than \$4,683,882, plus interest, attorneys' fees,

and costs.

3. Judgment in favor of Global and against Elixir for account stated in an amount not

less than \$1,561,294 plus interest, if applicable, on the amount claimed herein, attorneys' fees, and

costs.

4. If it is determined that no express contract exists, judgment in favor of Global on

the basis of equitable estoppel against Elixir in an amount not less than \$1,561,294, plus interest,

attorneys' fees, and costs.

5. If it is determined that no express contract exists, judgment in favor of Global on

the basis of unjust enrichment against Elixir in the amount of \$1,561,294, plus interest.

6. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

Dated: November 25, 2020.

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.

By: s/Anne M. Talcott

Anne M. Talcott, OSB #965325

Email: atalcott@schwabe.com Telephone: 503.222.9981

Facsimile: 503.796.2900

John Mark Goodman (pro hac vice to be filed) BRADLEY ARANT BOULT CUMMINGS

LLP

One Federal Place

1819 Fifth Avenue North

Birmingham, AL 35203-2104

(205) 521-8231

(205) 521-8800 - facsimile

jmgoodman@bradley.com

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify on this 25<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2020, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing via the methods indicated on the following party:

Charles R. Markley Williams Kastner 1515 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97201-5449 Telephone: (503) 228-7967 cmarkley@williamskastner.com

	Hand Delivery
	Facsimile
$\boxtimes$	U.S. Mail
	Overnight Courier
$\boxtimes$	Courtesy Email
	Electronic Service
	Other:

s/ Anne M. Talcott
Anne M. Talcott, OSB #965325